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This research investigated the ability of the Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS) Phased Array type L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR) to map tropical forest in central Sumatra, Indonesia. The study used PALSAR 50 m resolution orthorectified HH and HV data. As land-cover discrimination is difficult with only two bands (HH and HV), we added textures as additional information for classification. We calculated both first- and second-order texture features and studied the effects of texture window size, quantization scale and displacement length on discrimination capability. We found that rescaling to a lower number of grey levels (8 or 16) improved discrimination capability and that equal probability quantization was more effective than uniform quantization. Increasing displacement tended to reduce the discrimination capability. Low spatial resolution increased the discrimination capability because low spatial resolution features reduce the effects of noise. A larger number of features also improved discrimination capability. However, the amount of improvement depended on the window size. We used the optimum combination of backscatter amplitude and textures as input data into a supervised multi-resolution maximum likelihood classification. We found that including texture information improved the overall classification accuracy by 10%. However, there was significant confusion between natural forest and acacia plantations, as well as between oil palm and clear cuts, presumably because the backscatter and texture of these class pairs are very similar.

1. Introduction

Tropical forests account for 18% of annual CO₂ emissions. CO₂ is released due to deforestation and degradation following fire, resource extraction and draining of peat lands (IPCC 2007). Failure to reduce tropical deforestation could result in an additional release of 80–130 Gt of carbon into the atmosphere by 2100, which is comparable to all the carbon released during the last decade through the combustion of

*Corresponding author. Email: preesan@gistda.or.th
global fossil fuels (Gaveau et al. 2009). For this reason, the conservation of tropical
forest is critical to mitigate climate change.

Accurate inventories and monitoring of forest areas are critical for estimating CO₂
emissions. Remote sensing is probably the most reliable measurement tool for accurate
forest monitoring over large areas. Optical remote sensing, with its capabilities of large
area coverage and frequent revisits, provides a viable means to map forest coverage
areas at local and regional levels. However, forest mapping with such imagery is often
challenging in tropical regions, because in some seasons, these regions have frequent
cloud cover and heavy precipitation. It is often difficult and sometimes impossible to
acquire cloud-free images.

To avoid cloud effects, researchers have been using Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR)
data. SAR provides a promising alternative because it can penetrate clouds, as well as
smoke and haze from fires. The Advanced Land Observing Satellite (ALOS) carries
an SAR sensor called Phased Array L-band Synthetic Aperture Radar (PALSAR).
ALOS was launched on 24 January 2006. PALSAR is expected to play a key role
in estimating the loss of the forest-cover and land-cover change. The L-band SAR
sensor penetrates the above-ground biomass of tree trunks and canopies, independent
of atmospheric conditions and observation time (day vs night) (Rosenqvist et al.
2007).

This study examines the potential of ALOS PALSAR 50 m spatial resolution data
at HH and HV polarizations for mapping tropical rain forest in central Sumatra,
Indonesia. In cooperation with the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), we selected Riau
province, in central Sumatra, as a test site. Riau hosts some of the world’s most
biodiverse ecosystems. The province is covered by vast peatlands estimated to hold
Indonesia’s largest store of carbon. However, Riau has been under serious threat
because of rapid large-scale deforestation (Uryu et al. 2008).

As the two bands of ALOS PALSAR were expected to provide limited ability to
differentiate land cover, texture was used as an additional information source for clas-
sifying tropical rain forest (Nyoungui et al. 2002, Podest and Saatchi 2002). A large
number of techniques for texture analysis have been investigated for SAR image clas-
sification. The two methods considered in this study are the first- and second-order
texture statistics (Haralick 1979). This study focuses on comparing simple first- and
second-order textures at multiple scales in order to evaluate their contributions to
land-cover classification accuracy.

Although texture analysis in general has been widely investigated, there are some
studies that have examined how various parameters may affect the ability of tex-
ture features to discriminate land cover (Van Der Sanden 1997, Podest and Saatchi
we investigated the effects of texture window size, quantization scales and displace-
ment length on the ability to discriminate between selected regions of interest. In this
research, various combinations of texture features were selected using transformed
divergence (TD) (Swain and Davis 1978), which calculates the statistical distance
between land-cover classes.

After we investigated the relative efficiency of different textural features and param-
eters, we used principal component analysis (PCA) to integrate the backscattering and
texture features. PCA can reduce the dimensionality of the data while preserving dis-
crimination capability. First, four components from the PCA were used as input into
a maximum likelihood (ML) classifier (Alesheikh and Fard 2007). The results were
compared with an ML classifier based on backscattering information alone.
2. **Study area, data set and evaluation data set**

2.1 **Study area**

Riau province, central Sumatra, Indonesia, was selected as our study area. This province is rich with natural resources, particularly petroleum, natural gas, oil palm and fibre plantations. The climate in Riau is equatorial tropical climate, with two main seasons – the rainy season from November to April and dry season from June to September. The average temperature is $30^\circ\text{C}$ during the day and $23^\circ\text{C}$ at night throughout the year. Rainfall is between 2000 and 3000 mm/year on average. The province was once heavily forested lowlands, but as oil palm plantations and logging have become major industries, it is now losing around 2000 km$^2$ of forest per year. In 2007, the forest cover had dropped to 27% (or 22 000 km$^2$) from 78% (or 64 000 km$^2$) in 1982.

Riau regularly suffers from smoke and haze due to land-clearing fires for oil palm plantations during dry seasons. The fires occur because businesses, community cooperation units and individual community members slash and burn the natural forest in order to replace it with oil palm plantation. At present, the deforestation in Riau is largely driven by industrial plantation companies. Heavy machinery is used to build roads into the forest and to clear cut the trees. According to Uryu *et al.* (2008), 29% of forest cover was cleared for industrial oil palm plantation, whereas 24% was cleared for industrial pulpwood plantations.

2.2 **PALSAR data sets**

Two 50 m orthorectified PALSAR data sets were selected within the Riau province as shown in figure 1. Figure 1(a) shows the 443rd strip image, taken on 28 June 2007. Figure 1(b) shows the 444th strip image, taken on 30 November 2007. Even though this research did not consider seasonal difference, it is worth mentioning that the backscattering varies from the maximum to the minimum value, corresponding to the wet and dry seasons, respectively (Minchella *et al.* 2009, Tanase *et al.* 2011). The seasonality of the data is related to the moisture content. The dual-polarized HH and HV channels were projected into geographical latitude and longitude coordinates. HH means that the electromagnetic waves are transmitted as horizontally polarized signals, and then received as horizontally polarized signals. HV means that the electromagnetic waves are transmitted as horizontally polarized signals, but then received as vertically polarized signals. The SAR mosaicking algorithm used in this research was introduced by Shimada and Otaki (2010) and Shimada (2010). This algorithm can produce large-scale radiometrically and geometrically calibrated SAR data sets. Slope correction was applied using the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 90 m Digital Elevation Model.

Each site covers about 40 700 km$^2$. These two sites are known for their intensive land-use change and deforestation. The difference between the two images is in terrain type and scales of plantation. The topography of the 443rd strip area is flat plain. Agro-industrial companies have plantations over large homogeneous areas. The 444th strip image has rugged terrain where small-scale plantations predominate. In both images, the main land-cover classes are forest, oil palm, acacia, clear cut and water. The rest of the area contains primary vegetation that has undergone logging, secondary growth or different types of cultivated land. Both large- and small-scale plantations occur throughout the study areas.
For PALSAR standard products (level 1.5) provided by JAXA, the conversion between the amplitude (DN) and the normalized radar cross-section in decibel ($\sigma^0$) is given as follows (Shimada et al. 2009).

$$\sigma^0 = 10 \times \log_{10}(\langle DN^2 \rangle) + CF,$$

with the calibration factor (CF), which depends on the process date (in this case, CF is equal to $-83$ for both HH and HV).

### 2.3 Evaluation data set

For ground truth, this study used the 2007 WWF Riau GIS Land Cover Database. To create this database, WWF experts visually interpreted Landsat ETM images with the minimum mapping unit fixed at 50 ha. The land-cover areas were digitized at a scale of 1:90 000. The accuracy of the land-cover map was confirmed by frequent field verification (Uryu et al. 2008). Both test areas are covered by the same Landsat ETM image taken on 4 April 2007 (path/row = 126/060).

### 3. Methods

#### 3.1 Rescaled image creation and region of interest selection

The first step in our analysis created backscatter and texture images at different spatial resolutions (400 and 200 m). Backscatter (HH/HV) and texture images were computed over window sizes with increasing dyadic scales of $8 \times 8$ window sizes and $4 \times 4$.
window sizes, respectively. Average backscattering at 400 and 200 m resolution scale is expressed by the mean radar cross-section per unit projected area. Each textural feature image was computed according to the texture feature definitions. For each SAR image, the normalized cross-section data were rescaled to obtain output images with 256, 128, 64, 32, 16 and 8 quantization levels using both uniform and equal probability quantizations. We calculated seven first-order texture features for both 400 and 200 m resolution scales. At 400 m resolution scale, a series of six second-order texture features corresponding to displacement lengths ranging from 1 to 6 pixels was computed. For 200 m resolution scale, we used displacement lengths of 1 and 2. Hence, the total number of images extracted per region was equal to 1322 features. A summary of all of the parameters derived in this study is presented in table 1.

Then, we identified the image regions representing the land-cover types studied. Regions of interest (ROIs) were identified over the whole images. Thirty ROIs were selected to analyse the discrimination capability of different textural parameters. For each spatial resolution, the same identified ROIs were used to extract backscattering values and textural features from the SAR data.

### Table 1. Summary of features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PALSAR channels</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Window size</th>
<th>Distance range</th>
<th>Features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HH</td>
<td>Equal Prob.</td>
<td>8, 16, 32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6</td>
<td>7 (First)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
<td>64, 128, 256</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1, 2</td>
<td>6 (Second)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intensity to measure class discrimination capability and to improve its classification accuracy.

In this research, several texture features derived from SAR data were investigated to determine their capability to discriminate classes. The texture features, based on first- and second-order statistics, are described in §3.2.2 and 3.2.3.

### 3.2.1 Quantization schemes.
Quantization is an important consideration in the computation of texture, especially GLCM. This is because the more the grey scale levels are used, the more the computation cost increases. The quantization method also has the potential to enhance texture information. This research compares two quantization schemes: (1) linear or uniform quantization and (2) equal probability quantization. For linear quantization, the bin size is fixed. A constant quantization bin size is used no matter what the instantaneous grey level distribution is. In the equal probability quantization scheme, each bin has a similar probability. Equal probability quantization has been shown to accurately represent the original image in terms of GLCM-based textures (Soh and Tsatsoulis 1999).

### 3.2.2 First-order texture statistics.
For each pixel, the texture features are derived from first-order histograms over the surrounding window. A first-order histogram describes the frequency of occurrence of each grey level within the window. First-order histograms have been used extensively to quantify texture in SAR images (Saatchi et al. 2000, Nyoungui et al. 2002, Podest and Saatchi 2002, Kuplich and Curran 2005). Further descriptions of the textures can be found in Haralick and Shaunmugam (1973). The seven first-order texture measurements that we used are presented in table 2. For first-order texture, \( p(i) \) is the frequency of grey level \( i \) occurring in a pixel window, whereas \( N_g \) represents the quantization level of the image \( g \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Texture type</th>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First-order</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>( \text{AVG} = \mu = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} ip(i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>( \text{VAR} = \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N_g} \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} (i - \mu)^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>( \text{ENG} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_g} p(i)^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>( \text{ENT} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_g} p(i) \ln p(i) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient of variation</td>
<td>( \text{CV} = \frac{\sigma}{\mu} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>( \text{SKW} = \frac{1}{\sigma^3} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} (i - \mu)^3 p(i) \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>( \text{KUR} = \frac{1}{\sigma^4} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} (i - \mu)^4 p(i) - 3 \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second-order</td>
<td>Angular second moment</td>
<td>( \text{ASM} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} p^2(i,j) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contrast</td>
<td>( \text{CON} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} p(i,j)(i - j)^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>( \text{COR} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} \frac{p(i,j)(i-mx)(j-my)}{sxsy} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entropy</td>
<td>( \text{ENT} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} p(i,j) \ln p(i,j) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inverse difference moment</td>
<td>( \text{IDM} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_g} \sum_{j=1}^{N_g} \frac{p(i,j)}{1+(i-j)^2} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum probability</td>
<td>( \text{MAX} = \max_{i,j} p(i,j) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.3 Second-order texture statistics. Second-order texture measurements based on Haralick’s GLCMs (Haralick and Shanmugam 1973) consider the distance and angular spatial relationships between pixels within a window. The GLCM computes the joint probability of occurrence of pairs of grey levels separated by a given distance and direction. In this study, GLCM textures were calculated for all directions (omni directional) for representing variance measured within a window.

A number of statistical measurements that have been used effectively in many SAR applications (Soh and Tsatsoulis 1999, Kuplich and Curran 2005) can be extracted from the GLCM. This research used six second-order texture measurements, shown in table 2. For second-order texture, \( N_q \) is the quantization level of the image. \( p(i, j) \) is the relative frequency of grey levels \( i \) and \( j \) which two neighbouring pixels separated by a distance of \( \Delta x \) columns and \( \Delta y \) lines (Kuplich and Curran 2005). For GLCM-based correlation, the mean (\( \mu \)) and the standard deviation (\( s \)) are derived from

\[
\mu_I = \sum_i ip(i, \ast) \quad \mu_J = \sum_j j p(\ast, j)
\]

\[
s_I = \sqrt{\sum_i (i - \mu_I)^2 p(i, \ast)} \quad s_J = \sqrt{\sum_j (j - \mu_J)^2 p(\ast, j)},
\]

where

\[
p(i, \ast) = \sum_j p(i, j) \quad p(\ast, j) = \sum_i p(i, j).
\]

3.3 Evaluation of discrimination capability

For each spatial scale, the potential texture features used in the classification were selected by TD. TD evaluates a feature’s performance in class discrimination by calculating the statistical distance between each pair of classes included in the image. This is an indirect, \textit{a priori} estimate of the probability of correct classification (Swain and Davis 1978). The TD for class pair (a, b) is given by

\[
TD_{ab} = 2000 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{D_{ab}}{8}} \right),
\]

with

\[
D_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (\Sigma_a - \Sigma_b) (\Sigma_a^{-1} - \Sigma_b^{-1}) \right] + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \left[ (\Sigma_a^{-1} + \Sigma_b^{-1}) (M_a - M_b)(M_a - M_b)^T \right],
\]

where \( \Sigma_a \) and \( \Sigma_b \) are the covariance matrices, \( \Sigma_a^{-1} \) and \( \Sigma_b^{-1} \) are the inverse covariance matrices and \( M_a \) and \( M_b \) are the mean vectors for classes a and b, respectively. \( \text{tr} \) is the trace of the matrix in question (sum of the diagonal elements), whereas \( T \) refers to the transposed matrix. The TD measure is based on the assumption that the classes follow normal distributions. The highest value of TD is 2000, which indicates the largest separability that the probability distributions of the two classes do not overlap at all. The value 2000 is arbitrary and varies across different studies.

This research used the value 2000 in agreement with the TD formula from Van Der Sanden (1997).
In this study, we wanted to find the best texture features for both first- and second-order textures and the effects of texture window size, quantization scale and displacement length on discrimination capability. In addition, we wanted to see how TD improved as we added more features. For these reasons, we chose a TD value greater than or equal to 1900 as our threshold to calculate how many of the studied class pairs could be successfully discriminated (Van Der Sanden 1997). A value of 1900 corresponds to a lower bound for the likelihood of correct classification of close to 78%. A maximum of 22% of one of the two classes may thus be misclassified.

3.4 Multiscale classification

An ML classification was used to classify all of the images used in this study. Figure 2 shows the flow chart of the proposed algorithm that was carried out to enhance the classification accuracy and efficiency of the ML classification method. The ML classification has been modified to use prior information along with a multiscale approach.

This research uses the initial resolution scale 50 m backscattering images to calculate textures at 200 and 400 m spatial resolutions. Window sizes larger than $8 \times 8$ pixels were disregarded because they do not provide any new information for homogeneous land-cover types and suffer from mixed-pixel problems. On the other hand, the window sizes less than $4 \times 4$ pixels were not analysed because they are too small to describe texture information of the land-cover class.

Using the low spatial resolution features (400 m), the optimum band combination of backscattering amplitude and texture features was selected based on TD. PCA

![Figure 2. Flow chart of the classification process of SAR images.](image-url)
was then employed to reduce dimensionality of the data, because the parameters are strongly interrelated. First, four components from the PCA were then processed by conventional ML classification. At this scale, the \textit{a priori} probability is assumed to be equal for all of the classes. The results of the classifier at 400 m resolution scale are used as \textit{a priori} probabilities for the 200 m resolution scale. The \textit{a priori} probabilities are probabilities with which the class membership of a pixel could be guessed before classification (Podest and Saatchi 2002). A \textit{priori} probabilities are represented by the first term, \( p(\omega_i) \), in the discriminant function for ML classification.

\[
g_i(x) = \ln p(\omega_i) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\Sigma_i| - \frac{1}{2} (x - \mu_i)^T \Sigma_i^{-1} (x - \mu_i), \tag{6}
\]

where \( x \) is the distance of the pixel pair in the horizontal axis and \( y \) is the distance of the pixel pair in the vertical axis.

The \textit{a priori} probability when stepping from one scale to another is calculated for each pixel by

\[
p(\omega_i) = \frac{g_i(x)}{\sum g(x)}. \tag{7}
\]

The denominator is the sum over all classes of the discriminant function for the pixel. This research kept the probability results for each class as separate layers to serve as input to the relaxation method described in §3.5.

3.5 \textit{Relaxation method with spatial information}

The last step is the relaxation method that assigns a fixed class to each pixel. The simplest solution would assign the label, which is the most likely given the different posterior probabilities of equation (9) computed on a per-pixel basis. However, two neighbouring pixels are not totally independent and we would like to obtain continuous areas from the ML classifiers. In order to consider the spatial location of the pixels in the final decision, a clustering method based on a region-growing technique was implemented. Seed clusters were first computed based on a \( k \)-mean approach with the radiometric channel as input in order to enhance the processing speed. For each classifier, seed clusters were iteratively merged depending on their averaged \( \langle p(\omega_i) \rangle \) values, with the \( L_1 \) norm metric being used to compute the distance with the centroids of neighbouring seed clusters. The smallest cluster was always considered first. A set of cluster-based averaged \( \langle p(\omega_i) \rangle \) images was finally computed. As the clusters are computed for each class, the final labelling is finally performed on a per-pixel basis over the entire strip. This relaxation method takes into account both the posterior probabilities and the spatial information.

4. \textit{Results and discussion}

4.1 \textit{Separability evaluation of texture features}

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the probability distribution of average backscattering of L band HH and HV at different resolution scales for data from the ROIs. Selected ROIs are forest (green), acacia (red), oil palm (magenta) and clear cut (orange). The probability density functions (pdf’s) for L-band HH and HV are plotted at 400, 200, and 50 m resolution scales. Van Der Sanden (1997) showed that actual distributions of
Figure 3. Gaussian probability distribution for region averaged backscatter associated with land-cover types present in the 443th strip image at 400 \((a, b)\), 200 \((c, d)\) and 50 m \((e, f)\) scales. Peat forest is shown in dark green. Acacia is red, oil palm is magenta and clear cut is orange.

Figure 4. Gaussian probability distribution for region averaged backscatter associated with land-cover types present in the 444th strip image at 400 \((a, b)\), 200 \((c, d)\) and 50 m \((e, f)\) m scales. Peat forest is shown in dark green. Acacia is red, oil palm is magenta and clear cut is orange.
selected land-cover type correspond to Gaussian approximations computed by linear averaging. This research uses the standard Matlab `normpdf` function to plot Gaussian distributions for specific mean and standard deviation values.

Figures 3 and 4 show that lower spatial resolutions can decrease the noise effects and increase separability of the land-cover classes. However, there is spectral variability within the same class. This variation is dependent on many factors such as the soil moisture, plant growth stage and terrain types. HV has better separability than HH as shown in Figures 3(b) and 4(b). HH seems to mix all of the classes. The HV band can clearly separate forest and oil palm/clear cut. The forest is uniform over the large area. However, there are some areas that have lower or higher backscatter than others. For HV, forest has an approximate backscattering value of –14 dB, whereas oil palm has its backscattering values at about –15 dB. Depending on the growth stage, acacia has very similar backscattering compared to forest for both HH and HV. If the acacia trees are still young, the backscattering is lower than the forest. Clear cut has high variation in backscattering values. It mostly has a lower backscatter value than forest and acacia. It is sometimes confused with oil palm.

Figures 5–8 show the analysis results of the texture discrimination capability with respect to texture window size, quantization scales and displacement length at 400 and 200 m spatial resolution. We found that energy and angular second moment have the highest discrimination capability for the first- and second-order statistical textures, respectively. In the figures, d01–d06 means angular second moment with displacements of 1–6 pixels. ENG means energy of the first-order texture. It can be seen that equal probability quantization leads to significant improvement compared with uniform quantization for both 400 and 200 m resolution scales. Depending on

![Figure 5. 443rd image plots relating TD count (%) and quantization level for 400 m resolution scale: (a) and (b) are HH and HV with equal probability quantization; (c) and (d) are HH and HV with uniform quantization. ENG is energy of first-order texture. d01–d06 mean displacement lengths of 1–6 pixels on angular second moment of second-order texture.](image-url)
the quantization level, equal probability quantization offers around 80% TD count at 400 m scale whereas uniform quantization produces a TC count of about 76% for the 443rd strip. For the 200 m scale, equal probability quantization improves separability by about 5% compared with uniform quantization. A similar trend is observed for the 444th strip. However, overall discrimination appears somewhat poorer on this strip.

When considering the window size, the results show that lower spatial resolution increases the discriminating capacities of texture. This is due to the fact that lower spatial resolutions reduce variance in the texture measure due to the speckle noise. Figures 5 and 6 for the 443rd strip image show that the larger window size increases the number of pairs that can be discriminated by as much as 25% for HH and 21% for HV, for the 443rd strip image. Improvement of 37% for HH and 31% for HV can be seen for the 444th strip image.

The effects of number of grey levels (quantization) on the capacity of textures to identify the classes are shown in figures 5–8. For grey scale quantizing using equal probability quantization, the figures show that rescaling images to a lower number of grey levels does not weaken the ability of texture features to separate classes. Instead, a low number of grey levels produce high discrimination capability. For second-order statistic textures, a low number of grey levels considerably reduces the computational load, so textural analysis becomes more economical (Van Der Sanden 1997).

Comparison of figures 5 and 7 shows that the discrimination capability of the texture features computed with 256 grey scale levels is considerably poorer than that with 8 grey scale levels. The difference was approximately 4% for both HH and HV for the...
443rd strip at 400 m scale resolution of the 443rd strip image. For 200 m scale resolution, the advantage of quantization was 2% for HH and 8% for HV. For 400 m scale resolution, the advantage of quantization was 10% for both HH and HV.

In the case of a statistic based on GLCM, the discrimination capability is best when the displacement is equal to one pixel and deteriorates when the displacement gets larger. Figure 5 shows that displacement with \( d = 1 \) produces an approximately 80% TD count compared with 76% with \( d = 6 \).

Figures 9 and 10 show the TD count (%) based on a number of features chosen as inputs to the ML classification at each resolution scale. Starting with the features that produced the highest TD count added features until it reached 100%. As expected, the TD count (%) tends to increase with an increase in the number of features. It is evident from figures 9 and 10 that the spatial resolution has a strong impact on the rate of increase in the TD count (%). Approximately 20 features at the 400 m resolution scale are needed to achieve perfect separability compared with 50 features at the 200 m resolution scale.

4.2 Land-cover classification

Section 4.1 has shown the ability of texture at different scales to discriminate between land-cover classes. Texture effectiveness appears to be related to the quantization level.
Figure 8. 444th image plots relating TD count (%) and quantization level for 200 m resolution scale: (a) and (b) are HH and HV with equal probability quantization; (c) and (d) are HH and HV with uniform quantization. ENG is energy of first-order texture. d01–d02 mean displacement lengths of 1–2 pixels on angular second moment of second-order texture.

Figure 9. Variation of TD count (%) with the number of features for analysis based on training sets of 443th strip image at 400 and 200 m resolution scales.
Figure 10. Variation of TD count (%) with the number of features for analysis based on training sets of 444th strip image at 400 and 200 m resolution scales.

and method, the displacement and the window size. This section discusses the classification results of ML classification with multiscale texture compared with that of ML classification alone.

Figures 11 and 12 show the classification results and 2007 WWF reference maps created using Landsat ETM data. We used five land-cover classes: forest, acacia, oil palm, clear cut and waterbody. Other regions that cannot be clearly identified by SAR images were grouped as a class named ‘other’ and were masked out of the calculation. Figures 11(b) and 12(b) show the classification results using multiscale ML classification. The visual appearance of the classification results shows a great improvement over the homogeneous areas compared to the classification results using 50 m pixel-to-pixel ML classification shown in figures 11(a) and 12(a). This is presumably because the multiscale ML classification also operates as a low-pass filter by eliminating the speckle and texture noise at 50 m scale.

For classification results using only backscatter information, the confusion matrices of 443rd and 444th strip images are shown in tables 3 and 4. The overall classification accuracies for these images are 59.86% and 53.41%, respectively. Tables 5 and 6 illustrate that the overall accuracies increased to approximately 73% and 64% for the 443rd and 444th strips, respectively, when texture features and multiscale classification are used.

Although some of the classes are clearly separated (such as forest and oil palm), there is significant confusion between natural forest and acacia plantation because the backscatter and the texture are very similar. This could be predicted based on the separability analysis shown in figures 3 and 4. Oil palm and clear cut are also frequently confused. In addition, acacia is a fast-growing tree. Therefore, time-lapse acquisition between WWF reference maps derived from Landsat and SAR data might introduce misclassification errors.
4.3 Discussion

To assess accuracy, we compared the PALSAR-based classification maps with the WWF reference map that was compiled from Landsat ETM. Note that the accuracy of classification maps in this study may be affected by temporal and spatial inconsistency between these data sources. The accuracy may also be reduced by the land-use change between the PALSAR acquisition periods and the Landsat ETM acquisition periods. The other hidden error may be due to the different spatial resolution of the data source. Landsat ETM has its spatial resolution at 30 m, whereas PALSAR mosaic products are generated at 50 m spatial resolution.
ALOS/PALSAR, SAR, land-use classification

Figure 12. Classification results for the 444th strip SAR image: (a) the 50 m pixel ML classification, (b) the 50 m multiscale classification and (c) the 2007 WWF ground data map. Peat forest is shown in dark green. Acacia is shown in red, oil palm is yellow, clear is orange, water is blue and other is cyan.

Table 3. Confusion matrix for the 443th strip classified image by MLE based on L-band HH and HV backscatter (values in %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Oil palm</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Clear cut</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>User’s accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>50.79</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>79.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil palm</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>33.68</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>68.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>25.54</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>18.53</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>21.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cut</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>23.99</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>32.77</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>30.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>99.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall accuracy = 59.86%
Kappa coefficient = 0.39
Table 4. Confusion matrix for 444th strip classified image by MLE based on L-band HH and HV backscatter (values in %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Oil palm</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Clear cut</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>User’s accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>60.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil palm</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>67.43</td>
<td>18.93</td>
<td>37.61</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>72.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>22.12</td>
<td>9.94</td>
<td>35.01</td>
<td>17.73</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>43.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cut</td>
<td>14.11</td>
<td>18.08</td>
<td>15.12</td>
<td>25.24</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>14.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>87.79</td>
<td>99.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall accuracy = 53.41%
Kappa coefficient = 0.36

Table 5. Confusion matrix for 443th strip classified image by MLE based on L-band HH and HV backscatter and multiscale textures (values in %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Oil palm</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Clear cut</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>User’s accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>85.33</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>39.49</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>85.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil palm</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>74.55</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>72.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>13.11</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>50.94</td>
<td>17.01</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>39.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cut</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>39.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>79.77</td>
<td>99.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall accuracy = 73.05%
Kappa coefficient = 0.57

Table 6. Confusion matrix for 444th strip classified image by MLE based on L-band HH and HV backscatter and multiscale texture (values in %).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Forest</th>
<th>Oil palm</th>
<th>Acacia</th>
<th>Clear cut</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>User’s accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>79.51</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>31.33</td>
<td>14.36</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>67.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil palm</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>74.85</td>
<td>13.86</td>
<td>31.53</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>79.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>5.16</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td>16.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>63.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cut</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>16.20</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>37.25</td>
<td>11.09</td>
<td>22.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>86.87</td>
<td>97.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall accuracy = 63.93%
Kappa coefficient = 0.50

The overall classification accuracies for the 443rd strip image are better than for the 444th strip image. This is probably because the topography of the 444th strip area is hilly terrain. The land covers in this area are more spatially complex than in the 443rd strip image. Most of the deforested areas are owned by local villagers. In contrast with 444th strip, the 443rd strip area is located in flat terrain. The plantations in this area are operated by industrial companies and cover larger areas. For this reason, the land uses are more homogeneous than the 444th strip and thus produce higher classification accuracy. We also would like to mention that the backscattering varies from the maximum to the minimum value, corresponding to the wet and dry seasons, respectively. This is because the moisture content is related to the seasonal change.
5. Conclusion

In this research, we studied the potential for using ALOS PALSAR 50 m orthorectified L-band HH and HV data to map tropical forest. Detailed texture analysis was introduced as an additional information source. Our results showed that images rescaled to a lower number of grey levels (8 or 16) gave more accurate results as well as reducing computation time. Equal probability quantization schemes improved the separability between classes compared with uniform quantization. The displacement parameter also has an effect. There was a tendency for the discrimination capability to decrease when the displacement increased.

The analysis results demonstrated that lower spatial resolution improves the discrimination capability due to the fact that low spatial resolution reduces the effects of noise. Unsurprisingly, the number of feature used increases the discrimination capability. However, the rate depends on the window size (resolution).

This research used modified ML classification to identify land use. The classification strategy used a priori probabilities derived from the results of an ML classification at a more aggregated scale. The overall accuracies for land-cover classification increased by approximately 10% when combined with texture. However, we had difficulty in separating natural forest from acacia plantation and oil palm from clear cut. This is due to the fact that backscatter and texture of these class pairs are very similar.
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